# The Burgers equation driven by a stochastic measure

# Vadym Radchenko

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine

vadymradchenko@knu.ua (V. Radchenko)

Received: 8 November 2022, Revised: 17 January 2023, Accepted: 8 February 2023, Published online: 21 February 2023

**Abstract** The class of one-dimensional equations driven by a stochastic measure  $\mu$  is studied. For  $\mu$  only  $\sigma$ -additivity in probability is assumed. This class of equations includes the Burgers equation and the heat equation. The existence and uniqueness of the solution are proved, and the averaging principle for the equation is studied.

**Keywords** Stochastic Burgers equation, stochastic heat equation, stochastic measure, mild solution, averaging principle

2010 MSC 60H15, 60G57

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the stochastic equation, which can formally be written as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f(t, x, u(t, x)) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(t, x, u(t, x)) + \sigma(t, x)\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x),$$
(1)

where  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mu$  is a stochastic measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). For  $\mu$  we assume only  $\sigma$ -additivity in probability, assumptions for f, g,  $\sigma$  and  $u_0$  are given in Section 3. We consider the solution to the formal equation (1) in the mild form (see (5) below).

If f = 0 and  $g(x, t, v) = v^2/2$  then (1) is the Burgers equation, for g = const we get the heat equation.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by VTeX. Open access article under the CC BY license.

# **VTeX**

In the paper, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution and obtain its  $L^2$ -continuity. In addition, we obtain that the averaging principle holds for equation (1).

Equation (1) driven by the Wiener process was studied in [7] for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , in [6] and [8] for  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Equation driven by Lévy process was considered in [9]. The main reason to study equation (1) is that it is a generalization of the Burgers equation which is very important in fluid mechanics. The stochastic Burgers equation was studied, for example, in [4], [11], [14], [16, Chapter 18], [26], [27]. All these equations are driven by Gaussian processes or Lévy processes. In our paper, we consider a more general integrator. Our proofs are based on methods and results of [7].

Stochastic equations driven by stochastic measures were studied, for example, in [1] (general parabolic equation), [2] (wave equation), [17] (heat equation). The averaging principle for such equations was considered in [3], [18], [13]. The detailed theory of stochastic measures is presented in [20]. Note that in all these publications, the functions in the equations are assumed to be bounded.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we have compiled some basic facts about stochastic measures. The precise formulation of the problem and our assumptions are given in Section 3, some regularity properties of the stochastic integral are studied in Section 4, and one auxiliary equation is considered in Section 5. The existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation (1) are proved in Section 6. The averaging principle for our equation is obtained in Section 7.

#### 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give basic information concerning stochastic measures in a general setting. In equation (1),  $\mu$  is defined on Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $L_0 = L_0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$  be the set of all real-valued random variables defined on the complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$ . Convergence in  $L_0$  means the convergence in probability. Let X be an arbitrary set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X.

**Definition 1.** A  $\sigma$ -additive mapping  $\mu$  :  $\mathcal{B} \to L_0$  is called *stochastic measure* (SM).

We do not assume the moment existence or martingale properties for SM. In other words,  $\mu$  is L<sub>0</sub>-valued measure.

Important examples of SMs are orthogonal stochastic measures,  $\alpha$ -stable random measures defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra for  $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2]$  (see [21, Chapter 3]). Theorem 8.3.1 of [10] states the conditions under which the increments of a real-valued process with independent increments generate an SM.

Many examples of the SMs on the Borel subsets of [0, T] may be given by the Wiener-type integral

$$\mu(A) = \int_{[0,T]} \mathbf{1}_A(t) \, dX_t.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

We note the following cases of processes  $X_t$  in (2) that generate SM.

- 1.  $X_t$  is any square integrable martingale.
- 2.  $X_t = W_t^H$  is the fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index H > 1/2, see Theorem 1.1 [15].

- 3.  $X_t = S_t^k$  is the sub-fractional Brownian motion for k = H 1/2, 1/2 < H < 1, see Theorem 3.2 (ii) and Remark 3.3 c) in [23].
- 4.  $X_t = Z_H^k(t)$  is the Hermite process, 1/2 < H < 1,  $k \ge 1$ , see [12], [24, Section 3.1.3].  $Z_H^2(t)$  is known as the Rosenblatt process, see [22, Section 3].

The following analogue of the Nikodym theorem is satisfied for SMs.

**Theorem 1** ([5, Theorem 8.6]). Let  $\mu_n$  are SMs on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , and

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{B} \exists \mu(A) = p - \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n(A).$$

Then  $\mu$  is an SM on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Applying Theorem 1, we can construct the following example of the SM on all Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mu(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{A}(t)\xi(t) \, dW_t := \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{[-T,T]} \mathbf{1}_{A}(t)\xi(t) \, dW_t, \tag{3}$$

where  $W_t$  is the Wiener process,  $\xi(t)$  is an adapted process such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{E}\xi(t)^2 dt < \infty$ , the limit is taken in  $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ .

For deterministic measurable functions  $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ , an integral of the form  $\int_X g \, d\mu$  is studied in [20, Chapter 1] (see also [10, Chapter 7]). In particular, every bounded measurable g is integrable w.r.t. any SM  $\mu$ .

To estimate the stochastic integral, we will use the following statement.

**Lemma 1** (Lemma 3.1 [17], Lemma 2.3 [20]). Let  $f_l : X \to \mathbb{R}, l \ge 1$ , be measurable functions such that  $\overline{f}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |f_l(x)|$  is integrable w.r.t.  $\mu$ . Then

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathsf{X}} f_l \, d\mu \right)^2 < \infty \quad a.s$$

We consider the Besov spaces  $B_{22}^{\alpha}([c, d])$ . Recall that the norm in this classical space for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  may be introduced by

$$\|g\|_{B^{\alpha}_{22}([c,d])} = \|g\|_{\mathsf{L}_{2}([c,d])} + \left(\int_{0}^{d-c} (w_{2}(g,r))^{2} r^{-2\alpha-1} dr\right)^{1/2}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$w_2(g,r) = \sup_{0 \le h \le r} \left( \int_c^{d-h} |g(y+h) - g(y)|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

By *C* and  $C(\omega)$  we will denote positive constants and positive random constants, respectively, whose values may change.

#### 3 The problem

We consider (1) in the mild form

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t, x - y)u_0(y) \, dy$$
  
+  $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) f(s, y, u(s, y)) \, dy \, ds$   
-  $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(t - s, x - y)g(s, y, u(s, y)) \, dy \, ds$   
+  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t p(t - s, x - y)\sigma(s, y) \, ds \, d\mu(y),$  (5)

where equality holds a.s. for each  $t \in [0, T]$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . Here

$$p(t,x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}$$

is the heat kernel, and  $\mu$  is an SM on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

We will refer to the following assumptions on elements of (5).

**Assumption A1.**  $u_0(y) = u_0(y, \omega) : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and  $u_0(y) = u_0(y, \omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for each fixed  $\omega$ .

**Assumption A2.**  $f(s, y, r) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel function satisfying the linear growth and Lipschitz-type condition:

$$|f(s, y, r)| \le a_1(y) + K|r|,$$
  
$$|f(s, y, r_1) - f(s, y, r_2)| \le (a_2(y) + L|r_1| + L|r_2|)|r_1 - r_2|$$

for all  $s \in [0, T]$ ,  $y, r_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and for some constants K, L and nonnegative functions  $a_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Assumption A3. The function g is of the form

$$g(s, y, r) = g_1(s, y, r) + g_2(s, r),$$

where

$$g_1(s, y, r) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \quad g_2(s, r) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

are Borel functions satisfying the following linear and quadratic growth conditions:

$$|g_1(s, y, r)| \le b_1(y) + b_2(y)|r|,$$
  
$$|g_2(s, r)| \le K|r|^2,$$

for all  $s \in [0, T]$ ,  $y, r \in \mathbb{R}$ , where K is a constant, and

$$b_1 \in \mathsf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad b_2 \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathsf{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

are nonnegative functions. Moreover, g satisfies the following Lipschitz-type condition:

 $|g(s, y, r_1) - g(s, y, r_2)| \le (b_3(y) + L|r_1| + L|r_2|)|r_1 - r_2|$ 

for all  $s \in [0, T]$ ,  $y, r_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and for some constant L and nonnegative function  $b_3 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Assumption A4.**  $\sigma(s, y) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, and

$$|\sigma(s, y)| \le C_{\sigma}, \quad |\sigma(s, y_1) - \sigma(s, y_2)| \le L_{\sigma}|y_1 - y_2|^{\beta(\sigma)}$$

for  $1/2 < \beta(\sigma) < 1$  and some constants  $C_{\sigma}$ ,  $L_{\sigma}$ .

**Assumption A5.**  $|y|^{\tau}$  is integrable w.r.t.  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  for some  $\tau > 1/2$ .

For example, Assumption A5 holds for the SM defined in (3) and given  $\tau$  if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2\tau} \mathsf{E}\xi(t)^2 \, dt < \infty$$

If A4 and A5 hold, then, by Theorem of [1] (or Theorem 3.1 [20]), the random function

$$\vartheta(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s,y) \, ds \, d\mu(y)$$

has a version  $\tilde{\vartheta}(t, x)$  such that for any fixed

$$\delta \in (0, T), \quad M > 0, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < 1/2, \quad 0 < \gamma_2 < 1/4$$

there exists  $L_{\hat{\vartheta}}(\omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\vartheta}(t_1, x_1) - \widetilde{\vartheta}(t_2, x_2)| &\leq L_{\widetilde{\vartheta}}(\omega)(|t_1 - t_2|^{\gamma_2} + |x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma_1}), \\ t_i \in [\delta, T], \quad |x_i| \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$
(6)

In the sequel, we will use that

$$\left|\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(t-s,x-y)\right| \le \frac{C_{\lambda}}{t-s}e^{-\frac{\lambda(x-y)^2}{t-s}}$$
(7)

for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1/4)$  and some constant  $C_{\lambda}$ .

# 4 Regularity property of the stochastic integral

In this section, we obtain some properties of  $\vartheta$ .

For any  $j \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $n \ge 0$ , put

$$d_{kn}^{(j)} = j + k2^{-n}, \quad 0 \le k \le 2^n, \quad \Delta_{kn}^{(j)} = (d_{(k-1)n}^{(j)}, d_{kn}^{(j)}], \quad 1 \le k \le 2^n.$$

The following estimate is a key tool for the estimates of the stochastic integral.

**Lemma 2** (Lemma 3.2 [17]). Let  $\mu$  be defined on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , Z be an arbitrary set, and  $q(z, x) : Z \times [j, j+1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that for some  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$  and for each  $z \in Z q(z, \cdot) \in B^{\alpha}_{22}$  ([j, j + 1]). Then the random function

$$\eta(z) = \int_{[j,j+1]} q(z,x) \, d\mu(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{Z},$$

has a version  $\tilde{\eta}(z)$  such that for some constant *C* (independent of *z*, *j*,  $\omega$ ) and each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\eta}(z)| &\leq |q(z,j)\mu([j,j+1])| \\ &+ C \|q(z)\|_{B_{22}^{\alpha}([j,j+1])} \Big\{ \sum_{n\geq 1} 2^{n(1-2\alpha)} \sum_{1\leq k\leq 2^n} |\mu(\Delta_{kn}^{(j)})|^2 \Big\}^{1/2}. \end{split}$$
(8)

Further, we study the properties of stochastic integral  $\vartheta$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let Assumptions A4 and A5 hold. Then for version  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  that satisfies (6), we have  $\tilde{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ 

**Proof.** To prove the continuity in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , for fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$  and arbitrary  $t_n \to t_0$ , consider

$$\|\widetilde{\vartheta}(t_n) - \widetilde{\vartheta}(t_0)\|_{\mathsf{L}_2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widetilde{\vartheta}(t_n, x) - \widetilde{\vartheta}(t_0, x)|^2 dx.$$

By (6),  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  is continuous in both variables, therefore  $\tilde{\vartheta}(t_n, x) \to \tilde{\vartheta}(t_0, x)$  for each *x*. We will find  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$|\widetilde{\vartheta}(t,x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s,y) \, ds \, d\mu(y) \right| \le Cg(x), \tag{9}$$

then the dominated convergence theorem implies our statement.

We apply Lemma 2 for

$$q(z, y) = \int_0^t p(t - s, x - y)\sigma(s, y) \, ds, \quad z = (t, x).$$

Our considerations will imply that the function q(z, y) is continuous in y (see (14) and (15) below), thus the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied.

We fix  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\theta > 1$  and estimate

$$\begin{split} |\widetilde{\vartheta}(t,x)|^{2} &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s,y)\,ds\,d\mu(y) \right|^{2} \\ &= \left| \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{(j,j+1]} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s,y)\,ds\,d\mu(y) \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{-\theta} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \left| \int_{(j,j+1]} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s,y)\,ds\,d\mu(y) \right|^{2} \\ &= C \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \left| \int_{(j,j+1]} q(t,x,y)\,d\mu(y) \right|^{2} \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{\leq} C \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \left( |q(t,x,j)\mu((j,j+1])|^{2} \\ &+ \|q(t)\|_{B^{2,2}_{\alpha}([j,j+1])}^{2} \left\{ \sum_{n\geq 1} 2^{-n(2\alpha-1)} \sum_{1\leq k\leq 2^{n}} |\mu(\Delta_{kn}^{(j)})|^{2} \right\} \right). \end{split}$$
(10)

Below we will use the following simple estimates:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{r} e^{-\frac{b}{r}} dr \stackrel{b/r=z}{=} \int_{b/t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z} e^{-z} dz$$

$$\leq \mathbf{1}_{\{b \ge t\}} \int_{b/t}^{\infty} e^{-z} dz + \mathbf{1}_{\{b < t\}} \left( \int_{b/t}^{1} \frac{1}{z} dz + \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-z} dz \right) \qquad (11)$$

$$\leq \mathbf{1}_{\{b \ge t\}} e^{-b/t} + \mathbf{1}_{\{b < t\}} \left( \ln \frac{t}{b} + 1 \right),$$

The Burgers equation driven by a stochastic measure

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{b}{t-s}} ds \le \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} e^{-\frac{b}{T}} ds \le C e^{-\frac{b}{T}}.$$
 (12)

We have that

$$|q(t, x, y)| = \left| \int_0^t p(t - s, x - y)\sigma(s, y) \, ds \right|$$
  
$$\leq C_\sigma C \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\frac{(x - y)^2}{4(t - s)}}}{\sqrt{t - s}} \, ds \stackrel{(12)}{\leq} C e^{-\frac{(x - y)^2}{4T}}.$$
 (13)

To estimate  $\|q(t)\|_{B^{2,2}_{\alpha}([j,j+1])}$ , we consider

$$q(t, x, y+h) - q(t, x, y) = \int_0^t p(t-s, x-y)(\sigma(s, y+h) - \sigma(s, y)) ds$$
  
+ 
$$\int_0^t (p(t-s, x-y-h) - p(t-s, x-y))\sigma(s, y+h) ds := I_1 + I_2.$$

For  $I_1$ , we obtain

$$|I_{1}| \leq L_{\sigma} h^{\beta(\sigma)} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s, x-y) \, ds = C h^{\beta(\sigma)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4(t-s)}}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \, ds \tag{14}$$
$$\stackrel{(12)}{\leq} C h^{\beta(\sigma)} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4T}}.$$

For  $I_2$ , assuming  $0 \le h \le 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{2}| &\stackrel{A4}{\leq} C_{\sigma} \int_{0}^{t} |p(t-s, x-y-h) - p(t-s, x-y)| \, ds \\ &= C_{\sigma} \int_{0}^{t} \left| \int_{x-y-h}^{x-y} \frac{\partial p(t-s, v)}{\partial v} \, dv \right| \, ds \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{\leq} C_{\sigma} C_{\lambda} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{x-y-h}^{x-y} e^{-\frac{\lambda v^{2}}{t-s}} \, dv \, ds \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{\leq} C \int_{x-y-h}^{x-y} e^{-\frac{\lambda v^{2}}{t}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda v^{2} \geq t\}} \, dv + C \int_{x-y-h}^{x-y} \left( \left| \ln \frac{T}{\lambda v^{2}} \right| + 1 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda v^{2} < t\}} \, dv \\ &\leq C \int_{x-y-h}^{x-y} e^{-\frac{\lambda v^{2}}{t}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda v^{2} \geq t\}} \, dv + C \int_{x-y-h}^{x-y} |\ln |v|| \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda v^{2} < t\}} \, dv \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$&\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} C \int_{x-y-h}^{x-y} e^{-\frac{\lambda v^{2}}{t}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda v^{2} \geq t\}} \, dv + C \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| < \sqrt{t/\lambda} + 1\}} \int_{0}^{h/2} |\ln z| \, dz \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{\leq} Che^{-\frac{\lambda (x-y)^{2}}{T}} + C \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| < \sqrt{t/\lambda} + 1\}} (z-z\ln z) \Big|_{0}^{h/2} \\ &\leq Che^{-\frac{\lambda (x-y)^{2}}{T}} + Ch |\ln h| \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| < \sqrt{t/\lambda} + 1\}} \\ &\leq Che^{-\frac{\lambda (x-y)^{2}}{T}} + Ch^{\beta(\sigma)} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| < \sqrt{t/\lambda} + 1\}} \leq Ch^{\beta(\sigma)} e^{-\frac{\lambda (x-y)^{2}}{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

235

In (\*) we have used that the maximal value of  $\int_{x_1}^{x_2} |\ln v| |\mathbf{1}_{\{|v| < C\}} dv$  for fixed  $|x_1 - x_2|$  is achieved when  $x_2 = -x_1$ .

In (\*\*) we applied

$$Ce^{-\frac{\lambda v^2}{T}} \leq e^{-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(x-y)^2}{T}},$$

which holds for  $0 < \tilde{\lambda} < \lambda, x - y - h \le v \le x - y$ . Further, we note that for  $y \in [j, j + 1]$ 

$$e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2\tilde{\lambda}}{T}} \leq e^{\frac{(1-(|x-j|-1)^2)\tilde{\lambda}}{T}},$$

and (14) and (15) imply that

$$|q(t, x, y+h) - q(t, x, y)| \le Ch^{\beta(\sigma)} e^{\frac{(1-(|x-j|-1)^2)\tilde{\lambda}}{T}}.$$
(16)

Now, from (4), (13), and (16), for some  $1/2 < \alpha < \beta(\sigma)$  we get that

$$\|q(t)\|_{B^{2,2}_{\alpha}([j,j+1])} \le Ce^{\frac{(1-(|x-j|-1)^2)\tilde{\lambda}}{T}}.$$
(17)

From (10), (13), and (17) we obtain (9), where

$$g^{2}(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \Big( e^{\frac{2(1-(|x-j|-1)^{2})\tilde{\lambda}}{T}} \mu^{2}((j, j+1]) + e^{\frac{2(1-(|x-j|-1)^{2})\tilde{\lambda}}{T}} \Big\{ \sum_{n \ge 1} 2^{-n(2\alpha-1)} \sum_{1 \le k \le 2^{n}} |\mu(\Delta_{kn}^{(j)})|^{2} \Big\} \Big).$$
(18)

We will check that  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}(x) dx \leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \mu^{2}((j, j+1)) + C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \Big\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n(2\alpha-1)} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2^{n}} |\mu(\Delta_{kn}^{(j)})|^{2} \Big\}.$$
(19)

Here the sums with  $\mu$  have a form  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_l d\mu \right)^2$ , where

$$\{f_{l}(y), \ l \ge 1\} = \{(|j|+1)^{\theta/2} \mathbf{1}_{(j,j+1]}(y), \ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \{f_{l}(y), \ l \ge 1\} = \{(|j|+1)^{\theta/2} 2^{-n(\alpha-1/2)} \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{kn}^{(j)}}(y), \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ n \ge 1, \ 1 \le k \le 2^{n}\}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |f_l(y)| \le C(|y|+1)^{\theta/2}.$$

By A5,  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |f_l|$  is integrable w.r.t.  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  in both cases. From Lemma 1 it follows that for

$$\Omega_{\theta,\alpha} = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathsf{X}} f_l \, d\mu \right)^2 < +\infty \right\}$$
(20)

we have  $\mathsf{P}(\Omega_{\theta,\alpha}) = 1$ . For each  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta,\alpha}$ , we have  $g(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\tilde{\vartheta}(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathbb{C}([0, T], \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}))$ .

In particular, Lemma 3 implies that for each  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta,\alpha}$  it holds

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\tilde{\vartheta}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty.$$
(21)

We will need one more boundness result about  $\tilde{\vartheta}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let Assumptions A4 and A5 hold. Then, for version  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  that satisfies (6), we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T],x\in\mathbb{R}}|\widetilde{\vartheta}(t,x)|<\infty\quad a.s.$$

**Proof.** In the proof of Lemma 3, for function g defined in (18), we obtained that estimate (9) holds. From (18) it follows that

$$g^{2}(x) \leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \mu^{2}((j, j+1]) + C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|j|+1)^{\theta} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n(2\alpha-1)} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2^{n}} |\mu(\Delta_{kn}^{(j)})|^{2} \right\}.$$

Further, we repeat the proof of Lemma 3 after (19), and for each  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta,\alpha}$  obtain that  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x, \omega)| < \infty$ .

#### 5 Solution to the auxiliary equation

Consider the operator  $\pi_N : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\pi_N(v) = \begin{cases} v, & \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le N, \\ N \frac{v}{\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}, & \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} > N. \end{cases}$$

For the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , it is easy to check that

$$\|\pi_N(v) - \pi_N(w)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \|v - w\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(22)

In this section, we study the auxiliary equation

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t, x - y)u_0(y) \, dy$$
  
+ 
$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) f(s, y, \pi_N(u)(s, y)) \, dy \, ds \qquad (23)$$
  
- 
$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} (t - s, x - y)g(s, y, \pi_N(u)(s, y)) \, dy \, ds + \widetilde{\vartheta}(t, x).$$

We consider (23) for fixed  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta,\alpha}$  (see (20)), and will prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution.

Let us consider the linear operators

$$(J_1v)(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-s,x-y)v(s,y)\,dy\,ds,$$
  
$$(J_2w)(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(t-s,x-y)w(s,y)\,dy\,ds,$$

where  $t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}, v, w \in L^{\infty}([0, T], L^{2}(\mathbb{R})).$ 

We recall some lemmas from [7].

**Lemma 5** (Lemma 3.1 [7]). The operator  $J_1$  is bounded from  $L^2([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  to  $\mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ , and the following estimate holds:

$$\|J_{1}v(t) - J_{1}v(r)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t - r|^{1/3} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \|v(s)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} ds\right)^{1/2},$$
(24)

where  $0 \leq r, t \leq T$ .

**Lemma 6** (Lemma 3.2 [7]). The operator  $J_2$  is bounded from  $L^5([0, T], L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  to  $\mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ , and the following estimates hold:

$$\|J_2w(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-3/4} \|w(s)\|_{\mathsf{L}^1(\mathbb{R})} \, ds, \tag{25}$$

$$\|J_2w(t) - J_2w(r)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C|t - r|^{1/21} \Big(\int_0^t \|w(s)\|_{\mathsf{L}^1(\mathbb{R})}^5 \, ds\Big)^{1/5}, \qquad (26)$$

where  $0 \leq r, t \leq T$ .

The following statement is the analogue of Proposition 4.1 [7].

Lemma 7. Let A2 and A3 hold, and

$$u_0 \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad |\widetilde{\vartheta}(t,x)| \le C(\omega), \quad \|\widetilde{\vartheta}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C(\omega)$$

for some finite constant  $C(\omega)$ . Then for any fixed N > 0 and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta,\alpha}$  equation (23) has a unique solution  $u \in \mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ .

**Proof.** Step 1 (existence and uniqueness of the solution). Denote

$$(\mathcal{A}_1 u)(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) f(s, y, \pi_N(u)(s, y)) \, dy \, ds,$$
  
$$(\mathcal{A}_2 u)(t, x) = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(t - s, x - y) g(s, y, \pi_N(u)(s, y)) \, dy \, ds.$$

Fix  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the Banach space of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -valued functions u(t, x) such that  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ , with the norm

$$||u(t)||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_0^T e^{-\lambda t} ||u(t)||_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dt.$$

Define the operator  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(\mathcal{A}u)(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t,x-y)u_0(y)\,dy + (\mathcal{A}_1u)(t,x) + (\mathcal{A}_2u)(t,x) + \widetilde{\vartheta}(t,x). \tag{27}$$

We will prove that operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is a contraction for large  $\lambda$ .

Using the inequality

$$\left\|\int_0^t f(s)\,ds\right\| \le \int_0^t \|f(s)\|\,ds,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \| (\mathcal{A}_{1}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}_{1}v)(t) \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-s, x-y)(f(s, y, \pi_{N}(u)(s, y)) - f(s, y, \pi_{N}(v)(s, y))) \, dy \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \, ds \\ &\stackrel{A2}{\leq} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-s, x-y)(a_{2}(y) + L|\pi_{N}(u)(s, y)| + L|\pi_{N}(v)(s, y)|) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \, ds \\ &\quad \times |\pi_{N}(u)(s, y) - \pi_{N}(v)(s, y)| \, dy \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \, ds \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{\leq} \int_{0}^{t} \| p(t-s, x-y)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \| (a_{2}(y) + L|\pi_{N}(u)(s, y)| + L|\pi_{N}(v)(s, y)|) \\ &\quad \times |\pi_{N}(u)(s, y) - \pi_{N}(v)(s, y)| \|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

$$(28)$$

Here in (\*\*) we used the inequality for convolution  $\|v*w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ . Further, we have

$$\begin{split} \|p(t-s, x-y)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} &= C(t-s)^{-1/2}, \\ \|(a_{2}(y)+L|\pi_{N}(u)(s, y)|+L|\pi_{N}(v)(s, y)|)\|\pi_{N}(u)(s, y) - \pi_{N}(v)(s, y)|\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|(a_{2}(y)+L|\pi_{N}(u)(s, y)|+L|\pi_{N}(v)(s, y)|\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\times \|\pi_{N}(u)(s, y) - \pi_{N}(v)(s, y)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq (\|a_{2}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + 2LN)\|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}. \end{split}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{A}_{1}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}_{1}v)(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} &\leq C_{N} \Big( \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-1/4} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \, ds \Big)^{2} \\ &\leq C_{N} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-3/4} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \, ds, \end{aligned}$$
(29)

where  $C_N$  denotes some constants that may depend on N.

$$\begin{split} \| (\mathcal{A}_{2}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}_{2}v)(t) \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\ &= \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(t - s, x - y)(g(s, y, \pi_{N}(u)(s, y)) \\ &- g(s, y, \pi_{N}(v)(s, y))) \, dy \, ds \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\ \stackrel{(25)}{\leq} \left( \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{-3/4} \| (b_{3}(y) + L | \pi_{N}(u)(s, y) | \\ &+ L | \pi_{N}(v)(s, y) | ) | \pi_{N}(u)(s, y) - \pi_{N}(v)(s, y) | \|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \, ds \right)^{2} \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{-3/4} \| (b_{3}(y) + L | \pi_{N}(u)(s, y) | \\ &+ L | \pi_{N}(v)(s, y) | ) | \pi_{N}(u)(s, y) - \pi_{N}(v)(s, y) | \|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{-3/4} \| b_{3}(y) + L | \pi_{N}(u)(s, y) | + L | \pi_{N}(v)(s, y) | \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\ &\times \| \pi_{N}(u)(s, y) - \pi_{N}(v)(s, y) \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{-3/4} \| b_{3} \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + 2LN)^{2} \| u(s, y) - v(s, y) \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \, ds \\ &= C_{N} \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{-3/4} \| u(s) - v(s) \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \, ds. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}u - \mathcal{A}v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda t} \|(\mathcal{A}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}v)(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} dt \\ &\stackrel{(29),(30)}{\leq} C_{N} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-3/4} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} ds dt \\ &= C_{N} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda s} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \int_{s}^{T} (t-s)^{-3/4} e^{-\lambda (t-s)} dt ds \\ &\leq C_{N} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda s} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{-3/4} e^{-\lambda r} dr ds \\ &= C_{N} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{-3/4} e^{-\lambda r} dr, \end{aligned}$$

and for large  $\lambda$  we can get

$$C_N \int_0^\infty r^{-3/4} e^{-\lambda r} \, dr < 1.$$

Then the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a contraction and has a unique fixed point which is the solution of (23).

*Step 2 (continuity of the solution in*  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *).* 

We will demonstrate that  $u \in \mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  provided that Au = u. We consider each term in (27), and obtain

$$\begin{split} \|(\mathcal{A}_{1}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}_{1}u)(r)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\ & \stackrel{(24)}{\leq} (t-r)^{2/3} \int_{0}^{t} \|f(s, y, \pi_{N}(v)(s, y))\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} ds \\ & \stackrel{A2}{\leq} (t-r)^{2/3} 2T (\|a_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + K^{2}N^{2}), \\ \|(\mathcal{A}_{2}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}_{2}u)(r)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} \stackrel{(26)}{\leq} (t-r)^{5/21} \int_{0}^{t} \|g(s, y, \pi_{N}(v)(s, y))\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} ds \\ & \stackrel{A3}{\leq} (t-r)^{5/21} \cdot CT (\|b_{1}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} + \|b_{2}\pi_{N}(v)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} + K^{5}\|\pi_{N}^{2}(v)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{5}) \\ & \leq C(t-r)^{5/21} (\|b_{1}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} + \|b_{2}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} \|\pi_{N}(v)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} + K^{5}\|\pi_{N}(v)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{5}) \\ & \leq C(t-r)^{5/21} (\|b_{1}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} + \|b_{2}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{5} N^{5} + K^{5}N^{5}), \end{split}$$

therefore  $(\mathcal{A}_1 u)(t)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_2 u)(t)$  are continuous. For  $\tilde{\vartheta}$ , we refer to Lemma 3, convolution  $p * u_0$  is continuous by standard properties of the heat semigroup.

#### 6 Solution to the main equation

We will use one more statement from [7].

**Lemma 8** (Lemma 4.2 [7]). Let  $\zeta = \{\zeta(t, x), t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be a continuous and bounded function belonging to  $\mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . Let  $v \in \mathbb{C}([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be a solution of the integral equation

$$v(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t, x - y)u_0(y) dy$$
  
+ 
$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) f(s, y, v(s, y) + \zeta(s, y)) dy ds$$
(31)  
- 
$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(t - s, x - y)g(s, y, v(s, y) + \zeta(s, y)) dy ds,$$

where  $u_0(y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and f and g satisfy the assumptions A2 and A3.

Then we have

$$\|v(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq (\|u_{0}\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C_{1}(1 + R_{1}(\zeta)))\exp\{C_{2}(1 + R_{2}(\zeta))\},$$
(32)

where the constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  depend only on T and on the functions  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  and the constants K and L appearing in the hypothesis A2 and A3, and

$$R_{1}(\zeta) = \sup_{s \in [0,T]} (\|\zeta(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|\zeta(s)\|_{L^{4}(\mathbb{R})}^{4} + \|\zeta(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}),$$
  

$$R_{2}(\zeta) = \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\zeta(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}.$$

The main result of the paper is the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let Assumptions A1–A5 hold. Then equation (5) has a unique solution which is continuous with values in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** We consider equation (5) for each fixed  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta,\alpha}$ , take the version  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  that satisfies (6).

We apply Lemma 8 to

$$\zeta(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s,y)\,ds\,d\mu(y) = \widetilde{\vartheta}(t,x),$$

assumptions on  $\zeta$  are fulfilled by Lemmas 3 and 4.

For given  $\zeta$ , set

$$N = N_1 + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + 1,$$
(33)

where  $N_1^2$  is equal to the right-hand side of (32). For given N, take u which is a solution of (23).

Denote

$$t_N = \sup\{t : ||u(t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le N\},\$$

for  $t \le t_N$  we have  $\pi_N(u) = u$  and  $v = u - \zeta$  satisfies (31).

By Lemma 8,  $||v(t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq N_1$ , and

$$\|u(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|v(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + \|\zeta(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq N - 1, \quad t \leq t_{N}.$$

Therefore,  $t_N = T$ , and we never get  $||u(t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} > N$ . The solution of (23) will satisfy (5).

Conversely, in (5) we have that  $||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le N - 1$ , up to the moment  $t_N = T$  equation (5) coinsides with (23) and has a unique solution.

# 7 Averaging principle

In this section, we consider equation (1), where functions f and g do not depend on the time variable t, and study the averaging of the stochastic term.

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , consider equation

$$\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} + f(x, u_{\varepsilon}(t, x)) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, u_{\varepsilon}(t, x)) + \sigma(t/\varepsilon, x)\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}, \qquad (34)$$
$$u_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = u_0(x).$$

Assume that for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists the limit

$$\bar{\sigma}(y) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma(s, y) \, ds. \tag{35}$$

It is easy to see that  $\bar{\sigma}(y)$  satisfies Assumption A4 with the same constants  $C_{\sigma}$ ,  $L_{\sigma}$ .

We will study convergence  $u_{\varepsilon}(t, x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(t, x), \ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , were  $\bar{u}$  is the solution of the averaged equation

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial x^2} + f(x, \bar{u}(t, x)) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, \bar{u}(t, x)) + \bar{\sigma}(x)\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x},$$

$$\bar{u}(0, x) = u_0(x).$$
(36)

The mild forms of (34) and (36) are, respectively,

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \int_{0}^{t} p(t,x-y)u_{0}(y) \, dy$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-s,x-y)f(y,u_{\varepsilon}(s,y)) \, dy \, ds$$
  
- 
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}p(t-s,x-y)g(y,u_{\varepsilon}(s,y)) \, dy \, ds$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s/\varepsilon,y) \, ds \, d\mu(y).$$

and

$$\bar{u}(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t,x-y)u_0(y) \, dy$$
  
+  $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-s,x-y)f(y,\bar{u}(s,y)) \, dy \, ds$   
-  $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p(t-s,x-y)g(y,\bar{u}(s,y)) \, dy \, ds$   
+  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t p(t-s,x-y)\bar{\sigma}(y) \, ds \, d\mu(y)$ .

We also impose the following additional condition that is standard in the averaging principle.

**Assumption A6.** The function  $G_{\sigma}(r, y) = \int_0^r (\sigma(s, y) - \overline{\sigma}(y)) ds, r \in \mathbb{R}_+, y \in \mathbb{R}$  is bounded.

This holds, for example, if  $\sigma(s, y)$  is bounded and periodic in *s* for each fixed *y*, and the set of values of minimal periods is bounded. Obviously, A6 implies (35).

**Theorem 3.** Assume that Assumptions A1–A6 hold. Then there exists a versions of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\bar{u}$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u_{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \quad a.s.$$
(37)

**Proof.** In Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 1 [19] (or Theorem 7.1 [20]), for the stochastic integrals in

$$\xi_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(s/\varepsilon,y)ds \,d\mu(y) -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t} p(t-s,x-y)\bar{\sigma}(y)ds \,d\mu(y)$$

and some  $\gamma_1 > 0$  it was proved that there exists a version such that

$$|\xi_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| \le C(\omega)\varepsilon^{\gamma_1} \tag{38}$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ ,  $\mathsf{P}(\Omega_1) = 1$  (here Assumption A6 was used).

As in the proof of Lemma 3, for function g defined in (18), we obtain that

$$|\xi_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| \le Cg(x),$$

where  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta,\alpha}$ ,  $\mathsf{P}(\Omega_{\theta,\alpha}) = 1$ , and g is independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

By (38),  $|\xi_{\varepsilon_n}(t, x)| \to 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ . The dominated convergence theorem imply that for each  $t \in [0, T]$  and each  $\omega \in \Omega_{\theta, \alpha} \cap \Omega_1$  it holds

$$\left(\|\xi_{\varepsilon_n}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}\right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi_{\varepsilon_n}(t,x)|^2 \, dx \to 0, \quad \varepsilon_n \to 0$$

therefore

$$\|\xi_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \to 0, \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$
(39)

In the proof of Theorem 2, it was obtained that

$$\|u(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \le N,\tag{40}$$

where N is defined in (33).

We can see that also

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \le N \tag{41}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  for the same *N*. To explain this, note that in (33)  $N_1$  depends only on *T*, on the functions  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  and the constants *K* and *L* appearing in the assumptions A2 and A3. For  $\zeta(t, s) = \vartheta(t, s)$ , in the proof of Lemma 3 we obtained that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\|\vartheta(t)\right\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}(x) \, dx,$$

where the right-hand side may be estimated by (19). The constants in (19) may depend on  $C_{\sigma}$  and  $L_{\sigma}$  from assumption A4, but are independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

Further, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|u_{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-s, x-y)(f(y, u_{\varepsilon}(s, y)) - f(y, \bar{u}(s, y)) \, dy \, ds \right\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p(t-s, x-y)(g(y, u_{\varepsilon}(s, y)) - g(y, \bar{u}(s, y)) \, dy \, ds \right\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \|\xi_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} := J_{1} + J_{2} + \|\xi_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}. \end{split}$$

For  $J_1$ , as in (28)–(29), taking into account (40) and (41), we get

$$J_1^2 \le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/4} \|u_{\varepsilon}(s) - \bar{u}(s)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \, ds.$$

For  $J_2$ , as in (30), we obtain

$$J_2^2 \le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-3/4} \|u_{\varepsilon}(s) - \bar{u}(s)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \, ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-3/4} \|u_{\varepsilon}(s) - \bar{u}(s)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} ds + 3\|\xi_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}.$$

We use the generalized Gronwall's inequality (see, for example, Corollary 1 in [25]) and get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon}(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} &\leq C(\omega) \|\xi_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\ &+ C(\omega) \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^{n}(1/4)}{\Gamma(n/4)} (t-s)^{n/4-1} \|\xi_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (21),  $\|\xi_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$ . It is easy to check that the function

$$h(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^n(1/4)}{\Gamma(n/4)} (t-s)^{n/4-1}$$

is integrable. Applying (39) and the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain (37).

# Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Prof. M. Zähle for fruitful discussions during the preparation of this paper and thanks the Friedrich Schiller University of Jena for its hospitality. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments and suggestions.

#### Funding

This work was supported by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, grant 1074615.

#### References

- Bodnarchuk, I.: Regularity of the mild solution of a parabolic equation with stochastic measure. Ukrainian Math. J. 69, 1–18 (2017). MR3631616
- Bodnarchuk, I., Radchenko, V.: The wave equation in the three-dimensional space driven by a general stochastic measure. Theory Probab. Math. Statist. 100, 43–60 (2020). MR3992992
- [3] Bodnarchuk, I.: Averaging principle for a stochastic cable equation. Mod. Stoch. Theory Appl. 7(4), 449–467 (2020). doi:https://doi.org/10.15559/20-VMSTA168. MR4195646
- [4] Dong, Z., Xu, T.G.: One-dimensional stochastic Burgers equation driven by Lévy processes. J. Funct. Anal. 243, 631–678 (2007). MR2289699
- [5] Drewnowski, L.: Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration. III. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. 20, 439–445 (1972) MR0316653
- [6] Gyöngy, I.: Existence and uniqueness results for semilinear stochastic partial differential equations. Stochastic Process. Appl. 73, 271–299 (1998). MR1608641

- [7] Gyöngy, I., Nualart, D.: On the stochastic Burgers equation in the real line. Ann. Probab. 27, 782–802 (1999). MR1698967
- [8] Gyöngy, I., Rovira, C.: On stochastic partial differential equations with polynomial nonlinearities. Stochastics 67, 123–146 (1999). MR1717799
- [9] Jacob, N., Potrykus, A., Wu, J.-L.: Solving a non-linear stochastic pseudo-differential equation of Burgers type. Stochastic Process. Appl. 120, 2447–2467 (2010). MR2728173
- [10] Kwapień, S., Woyczyński, W.A.: Random Series and Stochastic Integrals: Single and Multiple. Birkhäuser, Boston (1992). MR1167198
- [11] Lewis, P., Nualart, D.: Stochastic Burgers' equation on the real line: regularity and moment estimates. Stochastics 90, 1053–1086 (2018). MR3854527
- [12] Maejima, M., Tudor, C.: Wiener integrals with respect to the Hermite process and a noncentral limit theorem. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 25, 1043–1056 (2007). MR2352951
- [13] Manikin, B.: Averaging principle for the one-dimensional parabolic equation driven by stochastic measure. Mod. Stoch. Theory Appl. 9(2), 123–137 (2022). doi:https://doi.org/10.15559/21-VMSTA195. MR4420680
- [14] Mazzonetto, S., Salimova, D.: Existence, uniqueness, and numerical approximations for stochastic Burgers equations. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 38, 623–646 (2020). MR4112739
- [15] Memin, T., Mishura, Y., Valkeila, E.: Inequalities for the moments of Wiener integrals with respect to a fractional Brownian motion. Statist. Probab. Lett. 51, 197–206 (2001). MR1822771
- [16] Peszat, S., Zabczyk, J.: Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Noise: An Evolution Equation Approach. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007). MR2356959
- [17] Radchenko, V.: Mild solution of the heat equation with a general stochastic measure. Studia Math. 194, 231–251 (2009). MR2539554
- [18] Radchenko, V.: Averaging principle for equation driven by a stochastic measure. Stochastics 91, 905–915 (2019). MR3985803
- [19] Radchenko, V.: Averaging principle for the heat equation driven by a general stochastic measure. Statist. Probab. Lett. 146, 224–230 (2019). MR3885229
- [20] Radchenko, V.: General Stochastic Measures: Integration, Path Properties, and Equations. Wiley – ISTE, London (2022)
- [21] Samorodnitsky, G., Taqqu, M.S.: Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes. Chapman and Hall, London (1994). MR1280932
- [22] Tudor, C.: Analysis of the Rosenblatt process. ESAIM Probab. Stat. 12, 230–257 (2008). MR2374640
- [23] Tudor, C.: On the Wiener integral with respect to a sub-fractional Brownian motion on an interval. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 351, 456–468 (2009). MR2472957
- [24] Tudor, C.: Analysis of Variations for Self-similar Processes: A Stochastic Calculus Approach. Springer (2013). MR3112799
- [25] Ye, H., Gao, J., Ding, Y.: A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328(2), 1075–1081 (2007). MR2290034
- [26] Yuan, S., Blömker, D., Duan, J.: Stochastic turbulence for Burgers equation driven by cylindrical Lévy process. Stoch. Dyn. 22, 2240004 (2022). MR4431443
- [27] Zhou, G., Wang, L., Wu, J.-L.: Global well-posedness of 2D stochastic Burgers equations with multiplicative noise. Statist. Probab. Lett. 182, 109315 (2022). MR4347488